



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/722,097	11/24/2003	Craig C. White	966650/00001A	7960

7590 02/16/2005

STEPTOE & JOHNSON PLLC  
Sixth Floor, Bank One Center  
P.O. Box 2190  
Clarksburg, WV 26302-2190

EXAMINER

CHIU, RALEIGH W

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3711

DATE MAILED: 02/16/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/722,097	<b>Applicant(s)</b> WHITE, CRAIG C.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Raleigh Chiu	<b>Art Unit</b> 3711	

**-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --**

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on \_\_\_\_.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-6 and 8-15 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 7 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 24 November 2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ____. |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                                   | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)             |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date ____. | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: ____.  |

Art Unit: 3711

**DETAILED ACTION**

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112***

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The recited steps describe a method of releasing a strand and therefore do not describe a method for securing a strand to a surface as required by independent claim 6.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC §§ 102 and 103***

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 3711

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 1, 2 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent Number 5,791,022 (Bohman).

Regarding claims 1, 2 and 4, Figure 6 of Bohman shows a rectangular block 61 with a lengthwise slot with rounded edges.

6. Claims 3, 6 and 8-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bohman as applied above.

Regarding claims 3 and 9, the selection of a known material to take advantage of its known properties has been held to be within the level of ordinary skill in the art.

Regarding claims 6, 8 and 10, Bohman describes the recited method of tensioning a cord, sliding it through a slot and releasing the cord. See column 2, lines 30 et seq. To the extent that Bohman does not explicitly recite the step of threading a first end of the cord through a hole, Bohman discloses that cord-locking mechanisms are commonly used in clothing (jackets) and outdoor equipment (tents). See column 1,

Art Unit: 3711

lines 10-15. The cords found in jackets and tents are commonly known to pass through holes (grommets, eyelets, etc.) before they are locked.

Regarding claim 11, although Bohman does not explicitly set forth the recited dimensions, Bohman discloses that his locking mechanism can be used for locking wires. See column 2, lines 30-36. As wires are known in the art to be approximately 1/32 inch, it would have been obvious to provide the slot with similar dimensions to properly lock the wire. Further, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to make the Bohman clip with the recited dimensions, since such a modification would have involved a mere change in the size of a component. A change in size is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art.

Regarding claim 12, it would have been obvious to trim any excess cord after locking for a neater end product.

Regarding claim 13, a clip as shown in Figure 1 of Bohman must contact some surface to counter the tension from the cord.

Regarding claim 14, the Bohman clip is inherently capable of being positioned to fit within a channel. It is noted here that the channeled surface is not considered to be a claimed limitation but merely provides an environment in which the clip can be used.

Art Unit: 3711

Regarding claim 15, Bohman discloses the method of releasing the cord at column 3, lines 56-59.

7. Claims 1 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent Number 368,292 (Lombard).

Regarding claim 1, Figure 4 of Lombard shows a block A with a lengthwise slot x. As the block is designed to receive the end of a cord, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to change the length of the block depending on how much cord is used; a shortened block would result in the closed end of the slot being closer to the second end of the block.

Regarding claim 5, it would have been within the capabilities of one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the dimensions of the Lombard block to specifically accommodate the particular picture intended to be framed.

***Allowable Subject Matter***

8. Claim 7 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 3711

**Conclusion**


9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Raleigh Chiu whose telephone number is (571) 272-4408. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Greg Vidovich, can be reached on (571) 272-4415.

The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).



Raleigh W. Chiu  
Primary Examiner  
Technology Center 3700

RWC:dei:feif

3 February 2005